Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/643,613	WECHSLER ET AL.
	Examiner	. Art Unit
	David A. Reifsnyder	1723
Il Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>David A. Reifsnyder</u> .	(3)	
(2) Roy J. Coleman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 September 2005	Time: <u>PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: none	•	
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET The applicant's representive Roy J. Coleman stated that no 28, 2005, because the applicant filed a straight continuation of	response was filed in response to	the office action mailed on March
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer of the summer	The examiner will provide a w te record of the substance of t	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
a.aa. roods in roodstatori of all loodoo. At offer outfill	inally by the examiner appears	art ii aboyo.
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)